



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,668	10/31/2003	Robert Ledingham	6486.P003 1021	
75	90 06/06/2006	EXAMINER		
James C. Sche		LEE, WILSON		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
12400 Wilshire	Boulevard	2163		
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026	DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/698,66	<b>38</b>	LEDINGHAM ET AL.				
		Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Wilson Le		2163				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - External after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. period will apply and w y statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nety filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	ì .						
2a)□	<u> </u>							
3)								
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
′=	☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers		•					
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	ominor						
	-		Objected to by the	Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	the Examiner in	no the diagoned Office		10 102.			
_	•		d05 H O O S 440/-	) (d) (f)				
=	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)ı	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>							
	_				Ctoro			
	_ ,	•		eu iii mis ivanonai	Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	occ the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the cert	nea copies not receive	<del></del>				
Attachmen	` '							
1) ⊠ Notic 2) Π Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		0-152)			
i ape	r No(s)/Mail Date		이 (그 Oulei,					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,668

Art Unit: 2163

## Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claim 1, line 6, "the first database" lacks antecedent basis whether it refers to a database or the first database server.

Regarding Claim 9, "ODBC" is not defined in the claim. It is vague because it could mean anything.

Regarding Claim 14, line 5, "the first" is vague because it does not define what "first".

#### Claim Rejections – 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feik (6,850,913).

Regarding Claim 1, Feik discloses a database architecture (See Figure 3) for information display system comprising:

a data manager (64) including a first interface (62);

Application/Control Number: 10/698,668 Page 3

Art Unit: 2163

- a first database server (54) connected to the data manager via the first interface:

- a firewall (52) connected to the first database server (54); and
- a second database server (20) connected to the first database server (54) via the firewall (52) and including a stored procedure (web pages of certifying organizations) for copying (e.g. downloading) data (search requests, HTML, naming and directory) (See claim 31, Col. 2, lines 7-49, Col. 10, line 64 to Col. 13, line 30) from the first database server (54).

Feik also discloses that such database management system is well known being used in the area of air and space travel. Although Feil does not explicitly mention the air traffic but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the system of Feik for display air traffic information such as an flight delay, flight arrival and departure, weather at the airports, etc.

Regarding Claim 2, Feik discloses that updating information (logging changes to current data) (See Col. 2, lines 7-49 and Figures 3 and 4). Although Feik does not explicitly disclose tables, however, table inherently exists in any database system including Feik in order to store the data in binary format.

Regarding Claim 14, Feik discloses a method of (see Figure 3) storing information comprising the steps of:

- receiving a data update request (from PC 20);
- changing the data (updating information) in accordance with the request (Col. 2, lines 7-49);

Application/Control Number: 10/698,668 Page 4

Art Unit: 2163

storing the changed data (into web database) in a first database server(54)
 (See Figures 3 and 4); and

- copying the changed data (copy and paste) to second database server (PC 20) separated from the first database server (54) by a firewall (52).

Feik also discloses that such database management system is well known being used in the area of air and space travel. Although Feik does not explicitly mention the air traffic but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the system of Feik for display air traffic information such as an flight delay, flight arrival and departure, weather at the airports, etc.

Regarding Claims 3, 4, 6, 17, 18, 20, 21, as discussed above, although Feik does not explicitly mention the air traffic, flight data, or airport system but it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the system of Feik for display air traffic information such as an flight delay, flight arrival and departure, weather at the airports, etc.

Regarding Claims 5, 7-13, 15, 16, 19, 23-26, Feik does not explicitly disclose tables, however, table inherently exists in any database system including Feik in order to store the data in binary format.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mohammadioun et al. (2004/0044674) discloses a system and method for parsing itinerary data. Mankoff (6,868,426) discloses a virtual document organizer system and method. Kurganov et al. (6,721,705) discloses a robust voice

Application/Control Number: 10/698,668 Page 5

Art Unit: 2163

browser system. Kay et al. (6,430,602) discloses a method and system for interactively responding to instant messaging requests.

### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Wilson Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1824.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The official fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/29/06

WILSON LEE